

Risk and Enterprise Resilience Policy



Contents

1	Introduction and definitions	3
2	Scope	3
	Principles	
	Documentation	
5	Reporting	9
	Monitoring and review	
	pendix A: Risk and Enterprise Resilience Management Framework	



1 Introduction and definitions

- 1.1 The Risk and Enterprise Resilience Policy (the Policy) details De Montfort University's (the University's) risk and enterprise resilience management framework and associated governance structure. The Policy sets out the University's approach to enterprise resilience in order to best achieve its strategic objectives and to ensure the continuity of business-critical activities.
- 1.2 The Policy will be referred to:
 - 1.2.1 By any member of staff involved in making decisions that could have a material impact on the University's resources;
 - 1.2.2 For the risk management framework (the Framework);
 - 1.2.3 For the risk and enterprise resilience governance architecture; and
 - 1.2.4 For the University's approach to enterprise resilience, which ensures threats to strategic objectives and business-critical activities are appropriately managed.
- 1.3 The Policy uses the following definitions when referencing risk and enterprise resilience:
 - 1.3.1 *Risk*: The threat or possibility that an action or event will adversely or beneficially affect an organisation's ability to achieve its objectives.
 - 1.3.2 **Enterprise Resilience**: The ability of an organisation to anticipate, prepare for, respond and adapt to incremental change and sudden disruptions in order to survive and prosper. Resilience encompasses proactive measures to reduce a likelihood of occurrence (e.g., risk management) and reactive measures to reduce impacts if risks come to fruition (e.g., business continuity).
 - 1.3.3 **Business Continuity**: The capability of an organisation to continue delivery of products or services at acceptable predefined levels following a disruptive incident.

2 Scope

- 2.1 The Policy focuses on the overall enterprise resilience of the University, concentrating on key risks that threaten the University's strategic objectives and business-critical activities. It ensures that emerging risks are escalated from business units (e.g. Faculties and Directorates) providing insight to the overall risk landscape.
- 2.2 The Policy does not reference day-to-day operational risk, although it is recognised that there is an overlap. Further policies explore the management and governance of risks associated with day-to-day Health and Safety (see the Management of Health and Safety Policy). The Policy does however include information about the escalation of operational risks if they were to have a significant impact on the University, or in the delivery of strategic aims and objectives.



3 Principles

3.1. The following principles provide the University's overarching approach to risk and enterprise resilience management. These principles shape and define the Policy to ensure a fit-for purpose, clear, and flexible approach to risk and enterprise resilience at the University.

Principle 1: Governance architecture

- 3.2. The University will apply a strong governance structure to prepare for and reflect on risk and enterprise resilience. This is achieved by:
 - 3.1.1 Assigning and aligning responsibilities for individuals and committees, providing accountability for risk and enterprise resilience management across the University;
 - 3.2.1. Integrating risk management and controls within existing systems and processes;
 - 3.2.2. Facilitating the assessment of risk and providing appropriate responses to emerging risks (including mitigation) outside of risk appetite or tolerance; and
 - 3.2.3. Providing assurance regarding the extent of compliance with the Policy.

Governance architecture in practice

3.3. The University's risk and enterprise resilience governance architecture ensures there are clear lines of accountability, communication and the permeation of decision-making across different hierarchical layers. The expectation of individual committees is detailed below.

Board of Governors

- 3.4. The Board of Governors will:
 - 3.4.1. Set the tone and embed the culture of risk and resilience management across the University;
 - 3.4.2. Approve the appropriate risk exposure for the University;
 - 3.4.3. Actively participate in major decisions affecting the University's risk profile or exposure to risk;
 - 3.4.4. Monitor the management and implementation of the Policy ensuring that significant risks are addressed to reduce the likelihood of adverse events occurring; and
 - 3.4.5. Satisfy itself of the effectiveness of the University's risks and enterprise resilience management.



Audit Committee

3.5. Audit Committee will:

- 3.5.1. Consider risk management at least bi-annually, including overall changes to the University's risk landscape, with a focus on any risks outside of appetite or tolerance, seeking management representation in respect to risk responses; and
- 3.5.2. Provide assurance to the Board of Governors regarding the University's approach to risk and enterprise resilience management, through, among other means:
 - Directing internal auditors to conduct reviews of the risk and resilience management process;
 - Ensuring external auditors plan to satisfy themselves on the adequacy of risk and resilience management;
 - Reviewing periodically subsidiary risk registers, business continuity plans, major incident plans, and specific risk assessments (e.g. for major capital projects, reorganisations or new Directorate or Faculty strategies);
 - Requesting that external auditors contribute to an annual review of effectiveness and report to the Committee as required; and
 - Seeking assurances from key colleagues and committees, such as from risk owners, functional heads, the University's Leadership Board and the Risk Management Committee.

University Leadership Board

- 3.6. The University Leadership Board, supported by the Risk Management Committee, will play a key role in ensuring that the University's Framework is implemented and adhered to.
- 3.7. The University Leadership Board will provide assurance to Audit Committee on the effectiveness of the University's risk management.

Principle 2: The risk and enterprise resilience framework

- 3.8. The University's strategic aims and objectives drive the risk and enterprise resilience of the organisation. This is achieved by:
 - 3.8.1. Ensuring a focus on risks that threaten the University's business model and strategic aims;
 - 3.8.2. Establishing key operations and the corresponding institutional appetite and tolerance levels for risk for these areas;
 - 3.8.3. Strengthening the connection between business continuity and crisis management as key aspects of enterprise resilience; and



3.8.4. Scoping internal and external factors to identify changes to the risk landscape.

The Framework in practice

- 3.9. Appendix 1 illustrates the mechanisms and structure of the Framework. The Framework coordinates the University's approach to managing risk through identification, assessment, treatment, monitoring and communication. The Framework ensures there is:
 - 3.9.1. A clear line of responsibility and accountability of individual officers and committees for risk and resilience management;
 - 3.9.2. A regular review of risk which considers external and internal influences and impacts;
 - 3.9.3. A clearly defined set of criteria for the prioritisation of risk, alongside defined levels of risk appetite and risk tolerance which are directly linked to business-critical process and strategic objectives;
 - 3.9.4. Appropriate escalation routes to report and resolve emerging risks;
 - 3.9.5. A strong relationship between the University's risk management, business continuity and crisis management as key pillars of the University's enterprise resilience; and
 - 3.9.6. Assurance regarding the extent of compliance with the Policy and review of the effectiveness of the management framework to University Leadership Board, Audit Committee and the Board of Governors.
- 3.10. Principle 2 is supported through the establishment of the *Risk Management Committee*. The Risk Management Committee will:
 - 3.10.1. Implement the University's Framework. It will provide assurance to University Leadership Board that risks at all levels are suitably identified, monitored and controlled;
 - Link the management of risk firmly to the strategic and business planning processes of the University;
 - 3.10.3. Set the risk appetite and tolerance for the University, and associated criteria for risk escalation;
 - 3.10.4. Ensure that risk management remains a dynamic and business-focused process;
 - 3.10.5. Continuously monitor the development of the University's risk management strategy, and where appropriate, to instigate changes in process and procedure to ensure that it remains fully fit for purpose;
 - 3.10.6. Agree the priorities for and planning direction of the University's business continuity planning;
 - 3.10.7. Satisfy itself that:



- Strategic risks have suitable levels of mitigation and control, in line with the risk appetite and tolerance levels;
- The levels of resilience of the University's key business critical activities are in accordance with the risk appetite and tolerance level; and
- That the University's crisis management plan is effective and fit for purpose.
- 3.10.8. Be a forum for emerging risks that exceed risk appetite and tolerance levels, ensuring appropriate resilience and levels of control and mitigation are in place by:
 - Agreeing the prioritisation of risks brought to its attention;
 - Selecting the appropriate risk controls or treatment plan if further control is required; and
 - Providing direction to ensure controls and treatments are carried out.
- 3.11. Faculties and Directorates have a key role to support Principle 2 through:
 - 3.11.1. Utilising the Framework to ensure that risks to local strategic objectives are effectively identified, assessed and monitored;
 - 3.11.2. Providing assurance to Risk Management Committee and University Leadership Board regarding the effectiveness of their risk management through established performance review mechanisms; and
 - 3.11.3. The escalation of risks where either the risk exceeds appetite or tolerance, or that are outside local control.

Principle 3. Risk ownership

- 3.12. Ownership and accountability are fundamental to the reporting and mitigation of risks. This is achieved by:
 - 3.12.1. Establishing and empowering risk owners to be accountable for risk and resilience across the University;
 - 3.12.2. Facilitating the identification, analysis and evaluation of risks, with a focus on those that can have significant impact on the University's business needs;
 - 3.12.3. Ensuring appropriate responses to risk within the established boundaries for risk-taking are applied; and
 - 3.12.4. Establishing a culture of monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of risk treatments.



Risk ownership in practice

3.13. Risk owners will:

- 3.13.1. Manage risks that threaten the University's strategic objectives and associated key performance targets (KPTs). Risk owners have a duty to ensure that risks have been appropriately assessed and that mitigations and controls are in place in accordance with the University's risk management procedures;
- 3.13.2. Proactively and continuously scope internal and external influences (including emerging risks from key business areas) to review and update risk scores, mitigations and controls as appropriate;
- 3.13.3. Escalate risks to the Risk Management Committee when emerging risks fall outside the University's risk appetite or tolerance level;
- 3.13.4. Consider local risks which align to their thematic area, and where appropriate incorporate these risks into their consideration of the strategic risk landscape; and
- 3.13.5. Work with appropriate Faculties and Directorates to utilise the Framework to ensure that significant risks that impact on local and strategic objectives are effectively identified, assessed, and monitored.

3.14. Those accountable for business-critical activities will:

- 3.14.1. Utilise the Framework to ensure that significant risks to these activities are considered and mitigated against through business continuity and crisis management plans; and
- 3.14.2. Escalate risks outside risk appetite to the Risk Management Committee as appropriate for consideration and to trigger response mechanisms to further mitigate the risk as necessary.

4 Documentation

- 4.1 Documentation should be kept practical and relevant. This is best achieved by integrating with existing reporting mechanisms. However, the documentation must be meaningful and support the risk and resilience management processes. In terms of the balance it is important that:
 - 4.1.1 Risk management documentation consists of a strategic risk register, and local risk registers at Faculty and Directorate level, supported by minutes of groups reviewing risk management;
 - 4.1.2 Documentation supporting risk and resilience management is clear to all concerned, with:
 - A common format for risk and resilience documentation;
 - A common assessment and scoring methodology;



- The ability to track and audit changes to risks and risk levels; and
- Evidence that mitigating actions are being carried out and are effective.
- 4.1.3 To have explicit and frequent conversations about risk and enterprise resilience, whether in specific fora such as the Risk Management Committee, or in other University committees or boards;
- 4.1.4 To capture key discussions about risk acceptance (e.g., through committee minutes); and
- 4.1.5 To demonstrate what is in place to respond to significant risks and identify gaps in our response plans.

5 Reporting

5.1 Risk and enterprise resilience will be reported at least biannually. This should be aligned to existing reporting processes, via Audit Committee to the Board of Governors.

6 Monitoring and review

6.1 The Policy will be reviewed annually by the Risk Management Committee each September in conjunction with a review of all key corporate risks. Any changes will be recommended to the University Leadership Board, Audit Committee and Board of Governors.



Appendix A: Risk and Enterprise Resilience Management Framework

Board of Governors Reporting & Escalation Decisions & Direction Reporting & Assurance -**Audit Committee** Improvement Process DMU Strategic Plan & KPTs University Leadership Board Risk Assessment Risk Management Committee Programme of Activity - Driven by Risk **Management Committee and SPS** Planning Review Audit Comms Training Exercises Risk treatment

Figure 1: Risk and Enterprise Resilience Management Framework