

The MoRSE Project – Enhancing Placement Learning by Science Students

Peter Taylor & Malcolm Andrew
(Leicester School of Pharmacy)

Richard Hall
(University TEL Coordinator)

DMU Employer Engagement Conference 11 June 2010

MoRSE

- JISC funded project (Nov. 2008 – Oct. 2010), with Kingston University
- Investigating ways of supporting student learning when remote from the university
- Focus on mobile & web2.0 technologies
- KU – geography field trips
- DMU – PCS placements

Mobilising remote stude...

←→↻⌂☆http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/elearning/curriculumdelivery/morse.aspx

Search for Enter your search in the whole JISC siteGo

JISC

Accessibility | Site map | Glossary

Home | About us | What We Do | Funding | Publications | News | Events | Contact us

Activities by Topic

Programmes

e-Learning programme

Transforming curriculum delivery

» Mobilising remote student engagement

On this page

Documents & Multimedia

Links

Home » What We Do » ... » Transforming curriculum delivery » Mobilising remote student engagement

Mobilising remote student engagement

Overview

Fieldtrips and placements are core learning activities across many academic disciplines providing exposure to real world problems, environments and work experiences. The Morse project will aim to enhance this learning 'beyond the institution' by developing discipline-based approaches to the enhancement and student ownership of field work and placements. The project will integrate outcomes from previous projects on mobiles, Web 2.0 and the learner experience to focus on issues of isolation, interaction and collaboration, reflection and feedback. This will be achieved through a partnership between students, employers and tutors.

Aims and Objectives

To develop processes to maximise the impact of fieldwork and placements on student learning and personal development through the integration of personal technologies and social tools. Objectives include:

- Understand how learners who are working beyond the institution will achieve and the challenges that they face
- Review the personal technologies students use and interact with and identify how these technologies can be used to provide them with differentiated support
- Understand how these technologies can be used to encourage students to reflect on their learning and receive appropriate feedback
- Develop learning activities that enhance student learning and control

Project Methodology

The project methodology maps on to the JISC LEX project research rationale which demonstrated a focus on capturing "the affective, social and cognitive aspects of the student experience". A Prince 2 project methodology will be used. Key elements include:

- Trial and implement evaluation methodology incorporating an enhanced LEX project "interviews plus" methodology (Project evaluators)
- Review current practice with project teams (Project Manager & DMU lead)
- Scope, trial and implement structured learning tasks using mobile technologies and social tools (Programme teams)
- Student involvement in the production of authentic case studies and briefing papers. (Students & Project teams)

Anticipated Outputs and Outcomes

The core outcomes and outputs of the project cover:

- The identification and evaluation of personalised learner experiences on fieldwork and placement
- Engagement of fieldwork and placement teams with mobile, personal technologies, in order to deliver recommendations for both enhanced curriculum delivery and support for all participants
- The refinement of institutional structures and processes for fieldwork and

Summary

Start date
1 November 2008

End date
31 October 2010

Funding programme
[e-Learning programme](#)

Strand
[Transforming curriculum delivery through technology](#)


Project website

Lead institutions
Kingston University

Partner institutions
De Montfort University

Committees
[JISC Learning and Teaching committee](#)

Topic
[Learner Experience](#)
[Learning & Teaching Practice](#)
[Mobile Learning](#)
[Web 2.0](#)



dmu.ac.uk
DE MONTFORT
UNIVERSITY
LEICESTER

Professional • Creative • Innovative

Project Aims

- Understand how learners working beyond institution will achieve & challenges they face
- Review students' personal technologies & identify how they can be used to provide differentiated support
- Understand how these technologies can be used to encourage students to reflect on learning & receive appropriate feedback
- Develop learning activities that enhance student learning and control

MORSE

Mobilising Remote Student Engagement

Kingston University London



[Overview](#)

 [MoRSE on Twitter](#)

[Aims and Objectives](#)

[Methodology](#)

[Outcomes and Reports](#)

[Project Team](#)

[Link to Blog](#)

PCS Placements

- BSc (Hons) Pharmaceutical & Cosmetic Science
- Optional 12 month industrial placement in 3rd year
- Usually in development or manufacturing in pharmaceutical or cosmetic company
- Was assessed by 5000 word technical report and evaluations by industrial supervisor and visiting academic tutor

Benefits of MoRSE

- Increase students' contact with university; putting placement in context of curriculum; increase learning opportunities
- Enhance student/student & student/tutor dialogue; lessen any feelings of isolation
- Provide more opportunity for reflection
- Provide more tangible record of the year, with possibility of separate award (e.g. University Cert. of Professional Development)

Project Timeline

- December 2008: focus groups with Yr2 & Yr4 students & dialogue with employers & academic tutors
- February 2009: Survey of student IT equipment & their views about use of IT during placement
- March 2009: begin training for students & tutors on using the technologies
- July - Sept 2009: industrial placements begin
- July - Sept 2010: industrial placements end
- July-October 2010: main reporting phase.

Year 4 Student Focus Group Comments

- More contact with university welcomed
- Addition of portfolio to final report, linked to specific, regular tasks would facilitate reflection
- Would like to develop enhanced IT skills (e.g. making podcasts)
- Would even-out the variation in experience gained by students caused by differences in size and nature of the companies.

A Year 4 Student Says...



Year 2 Student Focus Group Comments

- Welcomed idea of more frequent contact to support their learning
- Liked the sound of the proposed staged e-learning activities
- A few were daunted by the prospect of some of the e-learning activities (e.g. podcasting)
- Enthusiastic about using MoRSE to engage with Yr3 students on placement to help them to decide whether to do one themselves.

Tutor / Employer Comments

- Could provide earlier opportunity to intervene if student was experiencing problems
- Would increase tutor/student dialogue & supplement tutor visits to company
- Employers have concerns about confidentiality when students write about their placements
- Some tutors flagged the assessment of the e-learning activities as a potential issue

Student IT Equipment Survey

- What portable electronic equipment do they possess?

	Yr 4 (n=18)	Yr 2 (n=32)
Mobile phone	18	30
Laptop [Netbook]	13 [0]	29 [2]
PDA	0	1
MP3 player [with recording facility]	3 [5]	7 [13]
Video iPod (or equivalent)	9	18
Stills camera (other than mobile phone)	13	19
Video camera (other than mobile phone)	3	9
Combined video/stills camera	7	10

Student IT Equipment Survey

- What features did they have & use on their mobile

	% with feature	% using feature
1. Making phone calls	100	98
2. SMS texting	100	98
3. Taking pictures	96	98
4. MMS texting	92	68
5. Accessing the Internet	90	44
6. Listening to music	88	95
7. Listening to radio	83	38
8. Recording lectures	65	29
9. Social networking (e.g. Facebook)	52	32
10. Listening to/watching podcasts	29	36

Student IT Equipment Survey

- What technologies did they feel could support learning during placement?

	All Yr 4 (n=18)	Yr 4 who did Placement (n=11)	All Yr 2 (n=32)	Yr 2 wanting Placement (n=17)
1. Mobile phone	14	8	25	12
2. Podcasts	6	2	19	9
3. Social networking	13	9	16	7
4. Blogs	8	6	13	10
5. An e-portfolio	9	7	12	9
6. Wikis	4	2	7	4
Other				Email Blackboard

Final Approach to MoRSE

- Use Blackboard tools
 - confidentiality issues with other technologies
 - students already familiar with system
- Public wiki
 - common, set tasks
- Personal blog
 - reflective writing
 - not accessible to other students

Structured Tasks

- Approximately monthly intervals
- Examples of wiki tasks:
 - what are your expectations of the placement?
 - describe your role in the department
 - tell us about the area you live in
 - what advice would you give to students considering a placement?
- Personal blog has general task of reflective writing

Experience to date

- Students are generally very positive
 - from discussions with visiting tutor
 - feedback session at DMU, January 2010
- Helping students think more deeply about how they are developing on placement
- Improving links between students and university

Student Comments (Jan. 2010) 1



Student Comments (Jan. 2010) 2



From the Wiki

- “In uni you’re working most of the time on your own to complete any work that has to be done. Whereas in work you can work on your own but you know your part of a small team most of the time.”
- “One of the most annoying aspects of working in the pharmaceutical industry I have found is always signing and dating mistakes” (*in lab. books*)
- “Another good part here in ... is that you have to plan your own experiments/testing dates, booking of equipment etc”
- “The thing I like about working here is that it’s very organised and you can plan ahead and get everything arranged. It’s make life so much easier when you actual come to doing experiments.”

Lessons Learnt (so far...) 1

- Increased contact with students by conventional means (telephone, email)
- This approach overlays existing networks between students (text, email, Facebook etc.)
 - what are implications for issues of student isolation?
- Students need more introduction to reflection before they go on placement

Lessons Learnt (so far...) 2

- Difficult to maintain schedule of monthly tasks
- Commitment of staff time
- Scalability
 - how can this approach work for larger numbers of students?
- Reluctance of some companies to allow full participation

UCPD

- University Cert. of Professional Development
 - Industrial Studies (Pharmaceutical / Cosmetic)
- Two x 30 credit modules
- Supplements existing degree title (“...with Sandwich Placement”)
- Expected to be validated by end June 2010

UCPD Modules

- “Placement Reports” module
 - 5000 word technical report
 - Satisfactory evaluations from industrial supervisor and academic tutor
 - Effectively the existing placement assessment
- “Reflection on Practice” module
 - Portfolio of evidence for reflective learning
 - Uses blog and wiki tools on Blackboard

Development of MoRSE

- One outcome from project is to establish model of placement support that can be adapted to other programmes / subject areas
- Is this model feasible?
- What adaptations might be needed?