

Raising serious concerns: Whistleblowing Policy

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the university website. If this document is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the version number on your copy matches that of the one on the university website. Approved documents are valid for use after their approval date and remain in force beyond any expiry of their review date until a new version is available.

Contents:

1.	Introduction.....	2
2.	Scope	2
3.	Definitions	4
4.	Roles and responsibilities	5
5.	General principles/policy statement.....	5
	5.1 Confidentiality, anonymity, protection and support	5
	5.2 Raising a serious concern or disclosure	8
	5.3 Investigation	9
	5.4 Conclusion of investigation and outcomes	10
	5.5 If you are not satisfied.....	11
	5.6 Disclosures not pursued under this policy	11
	5.7 External disclosures.....	11
	5.8 Malicious allegations and breaches of the policy.....	12
	5.9 Training and awareness.....	12
	5.10 Data Protection.....	12
6.	Monitoring and reporting on the operation of this policy.....	13
7.	Equality, Diversity and Inclusion.....	13
8.	Sustainability	14
9.	Related policies and procedures.....	14
10.	Appendices.....	15
11.	Document and version control information.....	16

1. Introduction

- 1.1 De Montfort University (DMU) is committed to conducting its business with honesty and integrity, and expects all staff and governors to maintain the highest standards of ethical behaviour and professional conduct in accordance with the university's policies and procedures, regulations and codes of conduct (see section 7 for more details). However, all organisations face the risk of things going wrong from time to time, or of unknowingly harbouring illegal or unethical conduct ('malpractice' – see 3.1).
- 1.2 We recognise that it is often individual staff members who are the first to notice potential malpractice taking place within the university. We know that our staff are a valuable source of information and can be effective in helping us build assurance that we are meeting the high standards of ethical and professional conduct we expect of all staff and governors. Therefore, this policy aims to:
- 1.2.1 Encourage and support staff to report serious concerns that they believe have not been dealt with via appropriate university mechanisms (sometimes referred to as a "disclosure" or "blowing the whistle") as soon as possible, in the knowledge that they will be taken seriously and their concerns investigated as appropriate.
 - 1.2.2 Provide staff with a mechanism to raise serious concerns in confidence within the university.
 - 1.2.3 Reassure staff that they should be able to raise genuine concerns without fear of reprisals, even if they turn out to be mistaken.
 - 1.2.4 Balance the need to protect those who raise serious concerns against the need to protect staff and governors against untrue allegations that are made in bad faith and which can cause serious difficulties for innocent people.
- 1.3 The policy has been implemented following consultation with the recognised unions. This policy does not form part of any employee's contract of employment and the university may amend it at any time.

2. Scope

- 2.1 This policy applies to all employees of the university together with other categories of worker at the university such as agency workers (including Unitemps), consultants, contractors, casual and freelance workers. It also applies to volunteers including members of the Board of Governors. A version of this policy will apply to employees of DMU's wholly owned subsidiaries.

2.2 This policy should be used to disclose information which relates to suspected malpractice, corruption, maladministration (e.g. failure to follow a university process or regulation) or any other impropriety, including risks or dangers at work. Such concerns will normally be about matters that are serious and are in the public or collective student interest (for other issues which can be raised informally or as grievances please see section 2.4). These might include the following:

- Criminal offences that have been, are being, or are likely to be committed (including but not limited to financial irregularity, fraud, serious financial malpractice, bribery, blackmail or corruption);
- Unauthorised disclosure of confidential information including any breach of data protection requirements;
- Failure to comply with a statutory duty or regulatory or professional obligation or with the university's codes, procedures or regulations (see section 8);
- Failure to report a 'reportable event' as defined by the Office for Students' (OfS) regulatory framework;
- Endangering health and safety; which has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur;
- Failure to deal with a safeguarding matter – for example, where a serious concern has been raised via the Safeguarding Policy but not dealt with, in relation to harm or risk of harm to children, vulnerable adults or to animals;
- Failure to deal with concerns about sexual harassment;
- Concerns about slavery or human trafficking;
- Endangering the environment, which has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur;
- Miscarriage of justice, which has occurred, is occurring or is likely to occur;
- Conduct likely to damage the university's reputation or financial wellbeing;
- Attempts to conceal information relating to any of the above.

2.3 The above list is not intended to be exhaustive. Individuals are encouraged to raise any concerns about serious matters which they consider are potentially damaging to the university or harmful to individuals, even if those concerns do not clearly fit within one of the identified categories. If in doubt, it is better to raise your concern. The university would rather be aware of the matter you are concerned about and be able to act upon it as appropriate, than have a potentially serious matter going unreported because of uncertainty about whether it was 'in scope' of this policy. If you are unsure whether your concern is appropriate for this policy, you may seek advice from your line manager or from one of the identified Recipients at 5.2.6 in this policy.

2.4 The policy is not designed to allow you to:

- 2.4.1 question legitimate financial, business or managerial decisions taken by the university;
 - 2.4.2 raise any issues that should be raised under separate available mechanisms, for example the Grievance Procedure;
 - 2.4.3 seek reconsideration of any matters that have already been addressed under the grievance or disciplinary procedures; or
 - 2.4.4 raise concerns relating to your own personal circumstances which should be done locally with your line manager or their line manager.
- 2.5 If you do raise a concern under another university policy (e.g. the [Grievance Procedure](#)) which highlights wider concerns that would fall within the scope of this policy e.g. serious concerns about health and safety breaches, the university reserves the right to investigate and, where necessary, take appropriate action in accordance with the policy under which the issue was first raised.
- 2.6 If you raise a serious concern under this policy but the nature of the concern is within the scope of another university policy, the university reserves the right to investigate and, where necessary, take appropriate action in accordance with that other policy. Where this occurs, you will be advised of the decision to refer the matter to a different policy and the reasons for this. For example, a concern about suspected misconduct in research may be considered under the [Misconduct in research – investigation procedure](#) and a concern about potential fraud may be considered in accordance with the [Policy on Countering Fraud, Bribery and Money Laundering](#) or a concern about a serious misconduct issue may be brought to light during a grievance procedure and then dealt with under a disciplinary procedure.
- 2.7 If you raise serious concerns which are within the scope of this policy, you will still be afforded the protection of this policy even if they have not been specifically raised as whistleblowing matters under this policy, or where the university has taken the decision to deal with the matter in accordance with another appropriate university policy.

3. Definitions

- 3.1 Malpractice is a serious professional misconduct which may be illegal, unethical or negligent.
- 3.2 **The Recipient** is one of the people listed in section 5.2.6 and is the person who receives the serious concern. Recipients will undertake an initial assessment of the information submitted to determine whether the concern falls within the scope of this policy and whether the matter being raised should be investigated. The Recipient will oversee any subsequent investigation and be responsible for the decision in relation to next steps and action in response to the findings of an investigation.

- 3.3 **The discloser** is the person raising the serious concern, i.e. the “whistleblower”.
- 3.4 **The investigator** is the person who may be asked to carry out an investigation (see 5.3). They may be appointed internally or, where required, externally with reference to the knowledge, experience and skills required, based on the nature of the disclosure. The investigator will be impartial and will play no part in any decisions taken in response to the findings and will have no involvement with, nor responsibility for, any other procedures which may be invoked as an outcome of the investigation. In some cases, the university may appoint an investigator or team of investigators, including staff, with relevant experience of investigations or specialist knowledge of the subject matter. Any investigator will be required to act in accordance with this policy.

4. Roles and Responsibilities

4.1 Board of Governors

The Board of Governors has overall responsibility for this policy, and for reviewing the effectiveness of actions taken in response to serious concerns raised under this, or another appropriate, policy.

4.2 Audit and Risk Committee

The Audit and Risk Committee has responsibility for providing assurance to the Board of Governors that the university’s whistleblowing arrangements work effectively. A report will be made to the Audit and Risk Committee on the outcomes of any investigation (see section 6).

4.3 Line managers

You can raise serious concerns with your immediate line manager – they may be able to help with resolving the matter (see 5.2.5).

4.4 Trade union representative or colleague

Disclosers may bring a trade union representative or colleague to any meetings held under this policy. The representative or colleague must respect the confidentiality of the disclosure and any subsequent investigation. The role of the representative or colleague is to support you in raising your serious concerns, which may include asking questions and putting forward information on your behalf, rather than to put forward their own views or interpretations on the matter being raised.

5. General principles/Policy statement

5.1 Confidentiality, Anonymity, Protection and Support

Confidentiality

- 5.1.1 Confidentiality is an important part of this policy and the university will use all reasonable endeavours to protect the identity of staff who raise serious concerns and disclosures in accordance with this policy. Details of concerns raised and the names of any individuals involved will only be disclosed where it is absolutely necessary and/or lawful to do so, and in particular where required for any investigation or to remedy any issue related to the safety of individuals. (See also 5.10 - [Data protection](#)).
- 5.1.2 Whilst the university will do all it can to protect individuals, the university cannot guarantee that your identity will remain secret, for example in the following circumstance:
- Disclosure of your identity is a legal obligation.
 - Your identity as discloser is already in the public domain.
 - Disclosure is to a professionally qualified lawyer for the purposes of obtaining legal advice.
 - Disclosure is necessary for any investigation.
 - Your identity as the discloser can be deduced from the information provided.
- 5.1.3 If the investigation process may itself reveal you as the source of the information, or it is difficult to properly investigate without revealing you as the source, this will be discussed with you and you will be informed as to the degree of protection afforded to you under this policy and/or the law. This will not however hinder or frustrate any investigation. Subject to section 5.7 of this policy, no public statements should be made by any party about the concern raised without the prior approval of the university.
- 5.1.4 Any breach of confidentiality may lead to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal.

Anonymity

- 5.1.5 You have the right to remain anonymous when making a disclosure (i.e., by not disclosing your name), however, the university encourages staff to identify themselves when raising serious concerns under this policy. Concerns expressed anonymously are much less powerful in how they can be managed and the university is keen to encourage an open culture of trust and safety.
- 5.1.6 If the university receives an anonymous disclosure, it could be limited in the way the concern is investigated and will be unable to ask for further information or clarification. The university will make every effort to undertake a full investigation of facts in the absence of a witness or individual disclosure, but will consider:

- the seriousness of the issues raised including but not limited to whether it amounts to criminal misconduct or a breach of a statutory duty;
- any information or evidence presented in support of the disclosure;
- data protection considerations e.g. the duty to process data fairly in the context of allegations about a named individual from an unknown informant; and
- the likelihood of confirming the information from attributable sources.

5.1.7 The university reserves the right to take such action in response to anonymous disclosures as it considers appropriate.

5.1.8 If you are raising a serious concern anonymously you should be aware of the following:

- Proper investigation may be more difficult or impossible if further information cannot be obtained from you.
- It is more difficult to establish whether the information is credible and therefore whether or not a disclosure should proceed to investigation stage.
- It will not be possible to provide feedback to you in relation to the concerns raised.
- Legal protection as a 'whistle-blower' will only be available where there is evidence which links you to the disclosure of information.

Protection and Support

5.1.9 It is understandable that disclosers (or "whistleblowers") are sometimes worried about possible repercussions. The university encourages openness and will support staff who raise genuine serious concerns under this policy, even if they turn out to be mistaken.

5.1.10 If you have concerns about possible reprisals if your identity is revealed you should approach one of the named Recipients at section 5.2.6 and such measures as are appropriate or possible may then be taken to protect your identity so long as this does not hinder or frustrate any investigation.

5.1.11 In accordance with the Public Disclosure Act, disclosers must not suffer any detrimental treatment as a result of raising a genuine concern (even if the concern is unfounded). Detrimental treatment includes dismissal, disciplinary action, threats or any other unfavourable treatment connected with raising a concern. The university will not tolerate the victimisation of individuals who raise genuine concerns under this policy and a person who threatens or retaliates against a discloser in any way may be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal.

- 5.1.12 If you have raised a serious concern under this policy and feel that you have suffered detrimental treatment as a result, you could raise this with either Recipient or you may have recourse to the Grievance Procedure.
- 5.1.13 If you are a DMU employee, you are able to access the confidential Employee Assistance Programme free of charge – see 9 – Appendix A.
- 5.1.14 You may be supported by a trade union representative or a colleague at any meetings held under this policy – see 4.4.
- 5.1.15 You can seek advice from Protect (formerly ‘Public Concern at Work’), the independent whistleblowing charity, who offer a confidential helpline – see Section 9 – Appendix A.

5.2 Raising a serious concern or disclosure

- 5.2.1 Any concerns should be raised at the earliest opportunity so the matter can be considered without delay and, where necessary, appropriate action taken.
- 5.2.2 If you are reporting a serious concern under this policy, you are not required to have ‘proof’ that malpractice has occurred and you are not expected (or encouraged) to gather evidence before raising the matter. It is sufficient for you to have a reasonable suspicion about the matter of concern you are raising, even if it turns out that you are mistaken. You should provide factual information which explains the basis of your concern. Also see 5.8 regarding the potential consequences of making malicious allegations.
- 5.2.3 The university will be able to look into the matter once a concern is raised.
- 5.2.4 Any matter raised under this policy should, where possible, be in writing but serious concerns may be raised in person with your line manager or one of the Recipients listed at 5.2.6.
- 5.2.5 **Raising a serious concern with your line manager** – You can raise your serious concern with your immediate line manager who may be able to agree a way of resolving your concern quickly and effectively. Line managers should seek initial advice on the matter from one of the Recipients (see 5.2.6) if they are unsure of how to respond to the concern raised with them, or if they are unsure of whether or not it falls within the scope of this policy. If your line manager finds that the concern raised falls within the remit of this policy, they should forward the information to one of the named Recipients. Where your line manager has been unable to resolve your concern themselves, they should advise you of how it will be dealt with.
- 5.2.6 **Raising a concern with a Recipient** - you may also raise serious concerns with one of the university’s identified “Recipients”. The first identified Recipient is the Executive Director of People Services and they should be the first point of contact wherever possible. If, for any

reason, it is not possible or appropriate to approach the Executive Director of People Services in respect of your particular concern, then you should contact the second identified Recipient, the Executive Director of Finance and Procurement. See 9 - Appendix A for details.

- 5.2.7 If your disclosure is about both of the Recipients named in this policy, you may raise your serious concern directly with the Vice-Chancellor. If the disclosure is about the Vice-Chancellor, you may raise your concern directly with the Chair of the Board of Governors or, if the disclosure concerns alleged financial malpractice, with the Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee.
- 5.2.8 When a serious concern is raised, the Recipient will acknowledge receipt of the disclosure in writing, normally within five working days.
- 5.2.9 The Recipient will arrange a meeting with you as soon as possible to discuss your concern. You may bring a trade union representative or work colleague to any meetings under this policy. Your companion must respect the confidentiality of your disclosure and any subsequent investigation or outcome.
- 5.2.10 You should provide as much supporting information as possible about your concern. Again, you do not need to provide 'proof' but your concern must be a disclosure of 'information', as distinct from 'opinion'. For example, saying "health and safety requirements are not being complied with" is not disclosing information whereas providing specific examples of serious concerns which you believe to be health and safety failures is.
- 5.2.11 The Recipient will provide you with a written summary of your concern.
- 5.2.12 Whistleblowing concerns may sometimes relate to the actions of a third party, such as a partner organisation, a supplier or service provider. In some circumstances the law will protect you if you raise the matter with the third party directly. However, you are encouraged to report such serious concerns internally within the university first.

5.3 Investigation

- 5.3.1 Once you have raised a concern, the Recipient will carry out an initial assessment of the information made available to them in consultation with appropriate colleagues (for example People Services, Finance or a Governor) in strict confidence, to determine whether the matter is appropriate for this policy (see 5.3.3 below) and the scope of any investigation required. The Recipient may seek additional information from you at this stage. In the event of the serious concern being related to a serious financial matter such as financial malpractice or fraud, the Recipient will inform the university's external auditor and Chair of Audit and Risk Committee. If the serious concern relates to a matter which requires immediate reporting to the OfS as a possible 'reportable event' (see 2.2), the Recipient will also inform the OfS of the matter at this stage.

- 5.3.2 Following their initial assessment, the Recipient will inform you of the outcome e.g. if there is no further action required or if there is a need to act such as to investigate the matter internally or refer the matter to the police or other external body. Where there is a decision or need to investigate further, an appropriate Investigator will be assigned (see 3.4). Where a disclosure is not pursued under this policy – see section 5.6.
- 5.3.3 The nature and scope of any investigation required will depend on the nature of the serious concern raised; however, the Recipient will oversee the investigation to ensure in each instance that the investigation is reasonable and fair, impartial and sufficiently documented. In some instances, the Recipient, together with other colleagues, may decide to refer the matter to another university procedure e.g. the grievance or disciplinary procedure or the research misconduct procedure (see also 2.5 - 2.7).
- 5.3.4 The Recipient will aim to keep you informed of the progress of the investigation and its likely timescale and you may be required to attend additional meetings to provide further information. However, sometimes the need for confidentiality may prevent the university giving you specific details of the investigation or any disciplinary action taken as a result. You should treat any information about the investigation as confidential (see section 5.1 [Confidentiality](#)).

5.4 Conclusion of investigation and outcomes

- 5.4.1 At the conclusion of the investigation, the Investigator will make a report to the Recipient.
- 5.4.2 The report may make recommendations for actions to be taken which may include:
- Reviewing and making recommendations for changes to internal procedures, risk assessments, risk management procedures, action plans, training, management and governance oversight to minimise future risks.
 - Recommending the instigation of disciplinary proceedings against DMU employees.
 - Notifying/reporting to any external body or regulator e.g. Office for Students (OfS), the Health and Safety Executive (HSE), Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), General Medical Council (GMC), Information Commissioner's Office (ICO), or making a referral to the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS).
- 5.4.3 The Recipient will consider the recommendations of the report and make a final decision. Once the Recipient has reached a decision, they will inform you of this, if possible, within 10 working days, including any detail of further actions to be taken.
- 5.4.4 Where appropriate, you may receive updates on the changes implemented as a result of your disclosure. However, confidentiality requirements may prevent the university from giving you specific details of the investigation or any disciplinary or other action taken as a result. Any information provided must be treated as confidential.

- 5.4.5 The university will notify the OfS of “reportable events” in accordance with the Regulatory Framework for Higher Education (as amended from time to time), including issues that are suspected or alleged, shortly after such issues come to the attention of the university.
- 5.4.6 Depending on the nature of the event there may be a separate duty to report it to the police or other appropriate authority - for example, if criminality is suspected.
- 5.4.7 If the university concludes that untrue allegations have been made in bad faith or maliciously and with a view to personal gain, you may be subject to disciplinary action or other sanctions. See section 5.10 - Malicious allegations and breaches of the policy.

5.5 If you are not satisfied

- 5.5.1 The university cannot guarantee any particular outcome to any serious concern raised, but will make every effort to ensure that concerns raised under this policy are dealt with fairly and appropriately.
- 5.5.2 If you are not happy with the way in which your serious concern has been handled, you can raise it with the other Recipient listed at 5.2.6. They will consider all the information presented, the procedures that were followed and the reasons for the action taken or the reasons for taking no action. The outcome of this will be either to confirm that no further action is required or that further investigation is required and will follow the procedures referred to in section 5.3.

5.6 Disclosures not pursued under this policy

- 5.6.1 A decision not to pursue a disclosure may be taken before investigation if the Recipient decides that the concern disclosed does not fall within the remit of this policy. In such cases, they may refer you to other internal procedures, for example, the university’s Grievance Procedure if the concern relates to your personal circumstances rather than malpractice within the university.
- 5.6.2 A decision not to pursue a disclosure may also be taken before or after investigation if the Recipient is:
- Aware that the matter is already subject to legal proceedings, or has already been referred to the police or relevant bodies.
 - Aware that the matter is already, or has been, the subject of proceedings under one of the university’s other procedures, and/or
 - Satisfied that the discloser does not have reasonable grounds to believe that malpractice within the meaning of this policy has occurred, is occurring, or is likely to occur.

5.7 External Disclosures

- 5.7.1 The aim of this policy is to provide an internal mechanism for reporting, investigating and remedying any suspected malpractice occurring or likely to occur within the university. In most cases you should not find it necessary to alert anyone externally.
- 5.7.2 If, having followed this policy, you are not satisfied with the steps taken, you may raise the matter confidentially with the police, the OfS, the Department for Education, a Member of Parliament or other appropriate public authority (the government publishes a list of 'prescribed persons' to whom whistleblowing disclosures may be made – see 9 – Appendix A). Before taking any such action, you should inform the Recipient or another member of the university.
- 5.7.3 You may also raise the matter externally, as set out in 5.7.2 if you have reasonable grounds for believing that the Recipients or the Investigators referred to in this policy are or were involved in the alleged malpractice.
- 5.7.4 The law recognises that in some circumstances it may be appropriate for you to report your serious concerns to an external body such as a regulator. It will very rarely, if ever, be appropriate to alert the media. We strongly encourage you to seek advice before reporting a concern to anyone external.
- 5.7.5 You may, at any time, disclose the matter to a professionally qualified lawyer for the purpose of taking legal advice.

5.8 Malicious allegations and breaches of the policy

- 5.8.1 Where the university has grounds to believe that an allegation has been made maliciously or in bad faith without reasonable belief in its truth; or where an external disclosure is made in breach of this policy without reasonable grounds; or where a disclosure is made to an external body other than those set out in section 5.7 without reasonable grounds, disciplinary action may be taken against you, up to and including dismissal.

5.9 Training and Awareness

- 5.9.1 Staff will be made aware of the policy through normal communications channels and it will be available on [Connect](#). Appropriate training will be made available to Recipients on how to use the policy and deal effectively with serious concerns raised.

5.10 Data Protection

- 5.10.1 A disclosure made under this policy is likely to identify an individual or individuals, or may lead to an investigation in which it is necessary to identify individuals. It will therefore involve the processing of personal data under the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR). Depending on the nature of the allegations or

information disclosed it may also involve the processing of special category personal data¹ and/or personal data relating to criminal offences and convictions. It will therefore involve the processing of personal data under the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR).

- 5.10.2 The university's lawful basis for processing personal data, including special category data, in response to a disclosure made under this policy is set out in our [Privacy Notice](#).
- 5.10.3 Individuals named in a disclosure will normally be told of the allegations and the identity of anyone who will receive personal data about them during the investigation of the matter, unless there is a substantial risk that this will prejudice the investigation or it would otherwise be unlawful
- 5.10.4 All personal data collected during receipt and investigation of a serious concern raised under this policy will be processed fairly and lawfully in accordance with the UK GDPR and DPA. Any processing of personal data will be restricted to what is necessary for the purpose of investigating and responding to the concern raised.
- 5.10.5 Personal data may need to be shared with relevant third parties in the course of investigating or responding to a serious concern raised. This will only happen where it is necessary for the proper investigation and resolution of the matter and where this does happen, it will be restricted to information which is needed for the investigation and the university will redact or anonymise personal data where it is possible to do so without compromising the purpose of the investigation.
- 5.10.6 Personal data gathered will be kept until the end of the investigation or any subsequent legal, regulatory or disciplinary proceedings and any necessary, data will be retained securely for seven years after the close of the case or in accordance with the university's [Records Retention Schedule](#). After the retention period has lapsed, any personal data will be securely destroyed in accordance with the Information Handling Policy.

6. Monitoring and reporting on the operation of this policy

- 6.1 The Executive Director of People Services will keep a confidential record of all disclosures and any subsequent actions taken and will retain such reports for seven years. The information will be used to provide an anonymised confidential report to the Audit and Risk Committee on the outcomes of any investigation, in detail where the issue falls within its terms of reference, and in summary in other cases as a means of allowing the Committee to monitor the effectiveness of the policy.

¹ Data concerning an individual's racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, trade union membership, genetic data, biometric data (for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person), physical or mental health data or data concerning a person's sex life or sexual orientation). While criminal convictions and allegations are not within the definition, they are treated in a similar manner in the UK.

- 6.2 The Audit and Risk Committee will report annually to the Board of Governors on the operation of this policy (see 4.2).

7. Equality, Diversity and Inclusion

- 7.1 The university will ensure that this policy is implemented compassionately and sensitively, being mindful of equality considerations to ensure an inclusive approach to all disclosers/whistleblowers and to those who are named in allegations.

8. Sustainability

- 8.1 The whistleblowing policy is a key component of the university's commitment to sustainability, ensuring ethical conduct and accountability in all aspects of its operations. By encouraging employees to report unethical practices or violations, the policy helps identify and address potential risks related to environmental, social, and governance issues. This proactive approach not only prevents harm to the university's reputation but also fosters a culture of transparency and responsibility.

9. Related policies and procedures

- 9.1 The relevant legislation in relation to this policy is the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998, which protects disclosers ("whistleblowers") from detrimental treatment or victimisation.
- 9.2 The standards of conduct and behaviour expected of staff and governors are set out in the university's policies, procedures, regulations and codes of conduct. The following are particularly relevant to the types of serious concern that might be raised under this policy, in addition to the provisions contained within employees' contracts of employment, and which are available on [Connect](#):

- The Disciplinary Procedure for Professional Services and Senior Staff
- Disciplinary Procedure for Academic Staff
- Grievance Procedure
- Code of Conduct for Staff and Governors at DMU
- Policy on Countering Fraud, Bribery and Money Laundering
- Financial Regulations
- Health and Safety policies and procedures
- Safeguarding policy and procedures
- Equality and Diversity policies
- Staff-to-Student Relationships Policy

10. Appendix A: Contacts and further information

Recipients:

1. Executive Director of People Services - Bridget Donoghue
2. Executive Director of Finance and Procurement - Debbie Muddimer

See also 5.2.7, should the serious concern need to be raised with someone other than one of the Recipients.

External organisations:

Protect (formerly Public Concern at Work) - the whistleblowing charity <http://www.pcaw.org.uk>

Whistleblowing Advice Line: 020 3117 2520

UK advice line: whistle@protect-advice.org.uk

ACAS (Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service)

<http://www.acas.org.uk/>

Gov.uk

<https://www.gov.uk/whistleblowing/what-is-a-whistleblower>

List of prescribed persons: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/blowing-the-whistle-list-of-prescribed-people-and-bodies--2>

Employee Assistance Programme

24 hour Counselling and Information Services.

This benefit is available to all staff and their dependants. The EAP offers you immediate access to 24-hour telephone counselling and legal and financial information services, provided by an independent health and wellbeing provider, Health Assured, and thereby extends the support that we are able to offer.

Office for Students

[Regulatory advice 16: Reportable events](#)

DMU's policies and procedures for staff can be found on [Connect](#).

11. Document and version control information

Version control information heading	Details
Policy number	PRU02
Owner	Executive Director of People Services
Authors	Head of Employee Relations HR Policy and Research Officer
Approved by	University Leadership Board
Date of approval of this version	April 2025
Next review date	April 2028
Version number	4
Applicable statutory, legal, or national best practice requirements	The Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR)
Equality impact assessment completion date	
Data protection impact assessment completion date	
Coverage	See section 2.1